

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/912,591	07/25/2001		P. Christopher J. Gallagher	38123-152966	8700
3775	7590	09/17/2004		EXAMINER	
ELMAN TI	ECHNOI	LOGY LAW, P.C.	YOUNG, JOHN L		
P. O. BOX 209 SWARTHMORE, PA 19081-0209				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3622	
				DATE MAILED: 09/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	09/912,591	GALLAGHER, P. CHRISTOPHER J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John L Young	3622				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reeply within the statutory minimum of thirt and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	July 2001.					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-149 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdom 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-149 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a specificant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the left should be specification.	ccepted or b) objected to I objected to I occupied or b) objected to I occupied in abeyant ection is required if the drawing(nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Apionity documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
	Lh	received.				
IAMIRA	IARD YOUNG, ESO RY EXAMINER	-2				
Attachment(s)	_ (
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	•	ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/7/2004</u> .	5) Notice of In 6) Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Serial Number: 09/912,591

(Gallagher)

Art Unit: 3622

NON-FINAL REJECTION

DRAWINGS

1. This application has been filed with drawings that are considered informal; however, said drawings are acceptable for examination and publication purposes. The review process for drawings that are included with applications on filing has been modified in view of the new requirement to publish applications at eighteen months after the filing date of applications, or any priority date claimed under 35 U.S.C. §§119, 120, 121, or 365.

CLAIM OBJECTIONS

Claim 33 is objected to because of the following informalities:

2. Claim 33, at line 4, after the word "the" first occurrence, delete the phrase "of the".

CLAIM REJECTIONS — 35 U.S.C. §101

35 U.S.C. §101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefore, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Serial Number: 09/912,591

Art Unit: 3622

3. Claims 1-36, 72-74, 77-80 & 86-87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101, because said claim is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

(Gallagher)

As per claims 1-36, 72-74, 77-80 & 86-87, as drafted said claims are not limited by language within the technological arts (see *In re Waldbaum*, 173 USPQ 430 (CCPA 1972); *In re Musgrave*, 167 USPQ 280 (CCPA 1970) and *In re Johnston*, 183 USPQ 172 (CCPA 1974) also see MPEP 2106 IV 2(b), even though said claims are limited by language to a useful, concrete and tangible application (See *State Street v. Signature financial Group*, 149 F.3d at 1374-75, 47 USPQ 2d at 1602 (Fed Cir. 1998); *AT&T Corp. v. Excel*, 50 USPQ 2d 1447, 1452 (Fed. Cir. 1999).

Note: it is well settled in the law that "[although] a claim should be interpreted in light of the specification disclosure, it is generally considered improper to read limitations contained in the specification into the claims. See *In re Prater*, 415, F.2d 1393, 162 USPQ 541 (CCPA 1969) and *In re Winkhaus*, 527 F.2d 637, 188 USPQ 129 (CCPA 1975), which discuss the premise that one cannot rely on the specification to impart limitations to the claims that are not recited in the claims." (See MPEP 2173.05(q)).

CLAIM REJECTION — 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. §103(a) which forms the basis for all

4

Serial Number: 09/912,591

(Gallagher)

Art Unit: 3622

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-149 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Kalina 6,243,688 (06/05/1991) [US f/d: 08/25/1999] (herein referred to as "Kalina").

As per claim 1, Kalina (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 1-1; FIG. 1-2; FIG. 2; col. 2, ll. 57-63; col. 5, ll. 6-27; col. 1, ll. 13, ll. 67; col. 2, ll. 1-57; col. 2, ll. 67-67; col. 3, ll. 1-67; col. 4, ll. 1-67; col. 5, ll. 1-67; col. 6, ll. 1-58; and whole document) implicitly shows: "A computer based method for carrying out an incentive award program by a business entity comprising the steps of . . . participating in a quantifiable transaction, the quantifiable transaction having at least two transacting parties . . . determining at least one of the transacting parties to be a recipient of an incentive award offered by the business entity, the incentive award comprising at least one investment chosen from a plurality of vehicles for investment . . . providing the chosen incentive award to the recipient, wherein the business entity

Art Unit: 3622

is selected form the group consisting of the owner of the incentive award program and an authorized operator of the incentive award program, wherein the authorized operator has authority to carry out at least in part the incentive award program."

Kalina lacks explicit recitation of "an incentive award program." It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art that the disclosure Kalina (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 1-1; FIG. 1-2; FIG. 2; col. 2, ll. 57-63; col. 5,ll. 6-27; col. 1, ll. 13, ll. 67; col. 2, ll. 1-57; col. 2, ll. 67-67; col. 3, ll. 1-67; col. 4, ll. 1-67; col. 5, ll. 1-67; col. 6, ll. 1-58; and whole document) implicitly shows "an incentive award program"; and it would have been obvious to modify and interpret the disclosure of Kalina cited above as showing "an incentive award program", because modification and interpretation of the cited disclosure of Kalina would have provided means "for establishing and processing a purchase award account wherein purchase credit awards resulting from a purchase by a consumer using the purchase award account is exchanged into an ownership interest in an investment vehicle...." (see Kalina (col. 2, ll. 18-35), based on the motivation to modify Kalina so as to "[have] rewards/credits tailored to a particular market. . . . " (see Kalina (col. 1, 11. 48-57)).

Art Unit: 3622

۲.

As per dependent claims 2-36, <u>Kalina</u> shows the method of claim 1 and subsequent base claims depending from claim 1.

Kalina (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 1-1; FIG. 1-2; FIG. 2; col. 2, ll. 57-63; col. 5, ll. 6-27; col. 1, ll. 13, ll. 67; col. 2, ll. 1-57; col. 2, ll. 67-67; col. 3, ll. 1-67; col. 4, ll. 1-67; col. 5, ll. 1-67; col. 6, ll. 1-58; and whole document) implicitly shows all of the elements and limitations of claims 2-36; however,

Kalina lacks explicit recitation of some of the elements and limitations of claims 2-36; therefore,

Official Notice is taken that both the concepts and the advantages of those elements and limitations of dependent claims 2-36 not explicitly shown by Kalina were notoriously well known and expected in the art at the time of the invention, because it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art that the disclosure of Kalina cited above combined with the knowledge of one skilled in the art implicitly shows those elements and limitations of claims 2-36 which are not explicitly recited in Kalina; and it would have been obvious to modify and interpret the disclosure of Kalina cited above as implicitly showing all of the elements and limitations of claims 2-36, because modification and interpretation of the cited disclosure of Kalina would have provided means "for establishing and processing a purchase award account wherein purchase credit awards resulting from a purchase by a consumer using the purchase award account is exchanged into an ownership interest in an

Art Unit: 3622

investment vehicle..." (see Kalina (col. 2, ll. 18-35), based on the motivation to modify Kalina so as to "[have] rewards/credits tailored to a particular market...." (see Kalina (col. 1, ll. 48-57)).

Independent claim 37 is rejected for substantially the same reasons as independent claim 1.

As per dependent claims 38-71, <u>Kalina</u> shows the method of claim 37 and subsequent base claims depending from claim 37.

Kalina (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 1-1; FIG. 1-2; FIG. 2; col. 2, ll. 57-63; col. 5, ll. 6-27; col. 1, ll. 13, ll. 67; col. 2, ll. 1-57; col. 2, ll. 67-67; col. 3, ll. 1-67; col. 4, ll. 1-67; col. 5, ll. 1-67; col. 6, ll. 1-58; and whole document) implicitly shows all of the elements and limitations of claims 38-71; however,

Kalina lacks explicit recitation of some of the elements and limitations of claims 38-71; therefore,

Official Notice is taken that both the concepts and the advantages of those elements and limitations of dependent claims 38-71 not explicitly shown by <u>Kalina</u> were notoriously well known and expected in the art at the time of the invention, because it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art that the disclosure of <u>Kalina</u> cited above combined with the knowledge of one skilled in the art implicitly shows those elements and

Art Unit: 3622

limitations of claims 38-71 which are not explicitly recited in Kalina; and it would have been obvious to modify and interpret the disclosure of Kalina cited above as implicitly showing all of the elements and limitations of claims 38-71, because modification and interpretation of the cited disclosure of Kalina would have provided means "for establishing and processing a purchase award account wherein purchase credit awards resulting from a purchase by a consumer using the purchase award account is exchanged into an ownership interest in an investment vehicle. . . . " (see Kalina (col. 2, ll. 18-35), based on the motivation to modify Kalina so as to "[have] rewards/credits tailored to a particular market. . . . " (see Kalina (col. 1, ll. 48-57)).

Independent claim 72 is rejected for substantially for the same reasons as independent claim 1.

As per dependent claims 73-76, <u>Kalina</u> shows the method of claim 72 and subsequent base claims depending from claim 72.

Kalina (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 1-1; FIG. 1-2; FIG. 2; col. 2, ll. 57-63; col. 5, ll. 6-27; col. 1, ll. 13, ll. 67; col. 2, ll. 1-57; col. 2, ll. 67-67; col. 3, ll. 1-67; col. 4, ll. 1-67; col. 5, ll. 1-67; col. 6, ll. 1-58; and whole document) implicitly shows all of the elements and limitations of claims 73-76; however,

Art Unit: 3622

<u>Kalina</u> lacks explicit recitation of some of the elements and limitations of claims 73-76; therefore,

Official Notice is taken that both the concepts and the advantages of those elements and limitations of dependent claims 73-76 not explicitly shown by Kalina were notoriously well known and expected in the art at the time of the invention, because it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art that the disclosure of Kalina cited above combined with the knowledge of one skilled in the art implicitly shows those elements and limitations of claims 73-76 which are not explicitly recited in Kalina; and it would have been obvious to modify and interpret the disclosure of Kalina cited above as implicitly showing all of the elements and limitations of claims 73-76, because modification and interpretation of the cited disclosure of Kalina would have provided means "for establishing and processing a purchase award account wherein purchase credit awards resulting from a purchase by a consumer using the purchase award account is exchanged into an ownership interest in an investment vehicle..." (see Kalina (col. 2, ll. 18-35), based on the motivation to modify Kalina so as to "[have] rewards/credits tailored to a particular market..." (see Kalina (col. 1, 1l. 48-57)).

Independent claim 77 is rejected for substantially the same reasons as independent claim 1.

Art Unit: 3622

As per dependent claims 78-80, <u>Kalina</u> shows the method of claim 77 and subsequent base claims depending from claim 77.

Kalina (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 1-1; FIG. 1-2; FIG. 2; col. 2, ll. 57-63; col. 5, ll. 6-27; col. 1, ll. 13, ll. 67; col. 2, ll. 1-57; col. 2, ll. 67-67; col. 3, ll. 1-67; col. 4, ll. 1-67; col. 5, ll. 1-67; col. 6, ll. 1-58; and whole document) implicitly shows all of the elements and limitations of claims 78-80; however,

Kalina lacks explicit recitation of some of the elements and limitations of claims 78-80; therefore,

Official Notice is taken that both the concepts and the advantages of those elements and limitations of dependent claims 78-80 not explicitly shown by Kalina were notoriously well known and expected in the art at the time of the invention, because it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art that the disclosure of Kalina cited above combined with the knowledge of one skilled in the art implicitly shows those elements and limitations of claims 78-80 which are not explicitly recited in Kalina; and it would have been obvious to modify and interpret the disclosure of Kalina cited above as implicitly showing all of the elements and limitations of claims 78-80, because modification and interpretation of the cited disclosure of Kalina would have provided means "for establishing and processing a purchase award account wherein purchase credit awards resulting from a purchase by a consumer using

Art Unit: 3622

the purchase award account is exchanged into an ownership interest in an investment vehicle. . . . " (see Kalina (col. 2, ll. 18-35), based on the motivation to modify Kalina so as to "[have] rewards/credits tailored to a particular market. . . . " (see Kalina (col. 1, ll. 48-57)).

Independent claim 81 is rejected for substantially the same reasons as independent claim 77.

As per dependent claims 82-85, <u>Kalina</u> shows the method of claim 81 and subsequent base claims depending from claim 81.

Kalina (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 1-1; FIG. 1-2; FIG. 2; col. 2, ll. 57-63; col. 5, ll. 6-27; col. 1, ll. 13, ll. 67; col. 2, ll. 1-57; col. 2, ll. 67-67; col. 3, ll. 1-67; col. 4, ll. 1-67; col. 5, ll. 1-67; col. 6, ll. 1-58; and whole document) implicitly shows all of the elements and limitations of claims 82-85; however,

<u>Kalina</u> lacks explicit recitation of some of the elements and limitations of claims 82-85; therefore,

Official Notice is taken that both the concepts and the advantages of those elements and limitations of dependent claims 82-85 not explicitly shown by <u>Kalina</u> were notoriously well known and expected in the art at the time of the invention, because it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art that the disclosure of <u>Kalina</u> cited above combined

(Gallagher) Serial Number: 09/912,591

Art Unit: 3622

with the knowledge of one skilled in the art implicitly shows those elements and limitations of claims 82-85 which are not explicitly recited in Kalina; and it would have been obvious to modify and interpret the disclosure of Kalina cited above as implicitly showing all of the elements and limitations of claims 82-85, because modification and interpretation of the cited disclosure of Kalina would have provided means "for establishing and processing a purchase award account wherein purchase credit awards resulting from a purchase by a consumer using the purchase award account is exchanged into an ownership interest in an investment vehicle..." (see Kalina (col. 2, ll. 18-35), based on the motivation to modify Kalina so as to "[have] rewards/credits tailored to a particular market..." (see Kalina (col. 1, 1l. 48-57)).

Independent claim 86 is rejected for substantially the same reasons as independent claim 81.

As per dependent claim 87, Kalina shows the method of claim 86 and subsequent base claims depending from claim 86.

Kalina (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 1-1; FIG. 1-2; FIG. 2; col. 2, ll. 57-63; col. 5,ll. 6-27; col. 1, ll. 13, ll. 67; col. 2, ll. 1-57; col. 2, ll. 67-67; col. 3, ll. 1-67; col. 4, ll. 1-67; col. 5, ll. 1-67; col. 6, ll. 1-58; and whole document) implicitly shows all of the elements and limitations of claim 87; however,

Art Unit: 3622

Kalina lacks explicit recitation of some of the elements and limitations of claim 87; therefore,

Official Notice is taken that both the concepts and the advantages of those elements and limitations of dependent claim 87 not explicitly shown by Kalina were notoriously well known and expected in the art at the time of the invention, because it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art that the disclosure of Kalina cited above combined with the knowledge of one skilled in the art implicitly shows those elements and limitations of claim 87 which are not explicitly recited in Kalina; and it would have been obvious to modify and interpret the disclosure of Kalina cited above as implicitly showing all of the elements and limitations of claim 87, because modification and interpretation of the cited disclosure of Kalina would have provided means "for establishing and processing a purchase award account wherein purchase credit awards resulting from a purchase by a consumer using the purchase award account is exchanged into an ownership interest in an investment vehicle. . . . " (see Kalina (col. 2, ll. 18-35), based on the motivation to modify Kalina so as to "[have] rewards/credits tailored to a particular market...." (see Kalina (col. 1, 11. 48-57)).

Independent claim 88 is rejected for substantially the same reasons as independent claim 86.

Art Unit: 3622

As per dependent claims 89-90, <u>Kalina</u> shows the method of claim 88 and subsequent base claims depending from claim 88.

Kalina (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 1-1; FIG. 1-2; FIG. 2; col. 2, ll. 57-63; col. 5,ll. 6-27; col. 1, ll. 13, ll. 67; col. 2, ll. 1-57; col. 2, ll. 67-67; col. 3, ll. 1-67; col. 4, ll. 1-67; col. 5, ll. 1-67; col. 6, ll. 1-58; and whole document) implicitly shows all of the elements and limitations of claims 89-90; however,

Kalina lacks explicit recitation of some of the elements and limitations of claims 89-90; therefore,

Official Notice is taken that both the concepts and the advantages of those elements and limitations of dependent claims 89-90 not explicitly shown by Kalina were notoriously well known and expected in the art at the time of the invention, because it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art that the disclosure of Kalina cited above combined with the knowledge of one skilled in the art implicitly shows those elements and limitations of claims 89-90 which are not explicitly recited in Kalina; and it would have been obvious to modify and interpret the disclosure of Kalina cited above as implicitly showing all of the elements and limitations of claims 89-90, because modification and interpretation of the cited disclosure of Kalina would have provided means "for establishing and processing a purchase award account wherein purchase credit awards resulting from a purchase by a consumer using

Art Unit: 3622

the purchase award account is exchanged into an ownership interest in an investment vehicle..." (see Kalina (col. 2, ll. 18-35), based on the motivation to modify Kalina so as to "[have] rewards/credits tailored to a particular market..." (see Kalina (col. 1, ll. 48-57)).

Independent claim 91 is rejected for substantially the same reasons as independent claim 1.

As per dependent claims 89-90, <u>Kalina</u> shows the method of claim 88 and subsequent base claims depending from claim 88.

Kalina (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 1-1; FIG. 1-2; FIG. 2; col. 2, ll. 57-63; col. 5, ll. 6-27; col. 1, ll. 13, ll. 67; col. 2, ll. 1-57; col. 2, ll. 67-67; col. 3, ll. 1-67; col. 4, ll. 1-67; col. 5, ll. 1-67; col. 6, ll. 1-58; and whole document) implicitly shows all of the elements and limitations of claims 92-97; however,

Kalina lacks explicit recitation of some of the elements and limitations of claims 92-97; therefore,

Official Notice is taken that both the concepts and the advantages of those elements and limitations of dependent claims 92-97 not explicitly shown by <u>Kalina</u> were notoriously well known and expected in the art at the time of the invention, because it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art that the disclosure of <u>Kalina</u> cited above combined

Art Unit: 3622

with the knowledge of one skilled in the art implicitly shows those elements and limitations of claims 92-97 which are not explicitly recited in Kalina; and it would have been obvious to modify and interpret the disclosure of Kalina cited above as implicitly showing all of the elements and limitations of claims 92-97, because modification and interpretation of the cited disclosure of Kalina would have provided means "for establishing and processing a purchase award account wherein purchase credit awards resulting from a purchase by a consumer using the purchase award account is exchanged into an ownership interest in an investment vehicle. . . . " (see Kalina (col. 2, Il. 18-35), based on the motivation to modify Kalina so as to "[have] rewards/credits tailored to a particular market. . . . " (see Kalina (col. 1, Il. 48-57)).

Independent claim 98 is rejected for substantially the same reasons as independent claim 1.

As per dependent claims 99-133, <u>Kalina</u> shows the method of claim 98 and subsequent base claims depending from claim 98.

Kalina (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 1-1; FIG. 1-2; FIG. 2; col. 2, ll. 57-63; col. 5, ll. 6-27; col. 1, ll. 13, ll. 67; col. 2, ll. 1-57; col. 2, ll. 67-67; col. 3, ll. 1-67; col. 4, ll. 1-67; col. 5, ll. 1-67; col. 6, ll. 1-58; and whole document) implicitly shows all of the elements and limitations of claims 99-133; however,

(Gallagher) Serial Number: 09/912,591

Art Unit: 3622

Kalina lacks explicit recitation of some of the elements and limitations of claims 99-133; therefore,

Official Notice is taken that both the concepts and the advantages of those elements and limitations of dependent claims 99-133 not explicitly shown by Kalina were notoriously well known and expected in the art at the time of the invention, because it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art that the disclosure of Kalina cited above combined with the knowledge of one skilled in the art implicitly shows those elements and limitations of claims 99-133 which are not explicitly recited in Kalina; and it would have been obvious to modify and interpret the disclosure of Kalina cited above as implicitly showing all of the elements and limitations of claims 99-133, because modification and interpretation of the cited disclosure of Kalina would have provided means "for establishing and processing a purchase award account wherein purchase credit awards resulting from a purchase by a consumer using the purchase award account is exchanged into an ownership interest in an investment vehicle..." (see Kalina (col. 2, ll. 18-35), based on the motivation to modify Kalina so as to "[have] rewards/credits tailored to a particular market...." (see Kalina (col. 1, 11. 48-57)).

Independent claim 134 is rejected for substantially the same reasons as independent claim 1.

Art Unit: 3622

As per dependent claims 135-143, <u>Kalina</u> shows the method of claim 134 and subsequent base claims depending from claim 134.

Kalina (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 1-1; FIG. 1-2; FIG. 2; col. 2, ll. 57-63; col. 5, ll. 6-27; col. 1, ll. 13, ll. 67; col. 2, ll. 1-57; col. 2, ll. 67-67; col. 3, ll. 1-67; col. 4, ll. 1-67; col. 5, ll. 1-67; col. 6, ll. 1-58; and whole document) implicitly shows all of the elements and limitations of claims 135-143; however,

Kalina lacks explicit recitation of some of the elements and limitations of claims 135-143; therefore,

Official Notice is taken that both the concepts and the advantages of those elements and limitations of dependent claims 135-143 not explicitly shown by Kalina were notoriously well known and expected in the art at the time of the invention, because it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art that the disclosure of Kalina cited above combined with the knowledge of one skilled in the art implicitly shows those elements and limitations of claims 135-143 which are not explicitly recited in Kalina; and it would have been obvious to modify and interpret the disclosure of Kalina cited above as implicitly showing all of the elements and limitations of claims 135-143, because modification and interpretation of the cited disclosure of Kalina would have provided means "for establishing and processing a purchase award account wherein purchase credit awards resulting from a purchase by a

Art Unit: 3622

consumer using the purchase award account is exchanged into an ownership interest in an investment vehicle..." (see Kalina (col. 2, ll. 18-35), based on the motivation to modify Kalina so as to "[have] rewards/credits tailored to a particular market..." (see Kalina (col. 1, ll. 48-57)).

Independent claim 144 is rejected for substantially the same reasons as independent claim 81.

As per dependent claim 145, <u>Kalina</u> shows the method of claim 144 and subsequent base claims depending from claim 144.

Kalina (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 1-1; FIG. 1-2; FIG. 2; col. 2, ll. 57-63; col. 5, ll. 6-27; col. 1, ll. 13, ll. 67; col. 2, ll. 1-57; col. 2, ll. 67-67; col. 3, ll. 1-67; col. 4, ll. 1-67; col. 5, ll. 1-67; col. 6, ll. 1-58; and whole document) implicitly shows all of the elements and limitations of claim 145; however,

Kalina lacks explicit recitation of some of the elements and limitations of claim 145; therefore,

Official Notice is taken that both the concepts and the advantages of those elements and limitations of dependent claim 145 not explicitly shown by <u>Kalina</u> were notoriously well known and expected in the art at the time of the invention, because it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art that the disclosure of <u>Kalina</u> cited above combined

Art Unit: 3622

4 · 4 · •

with the knowledge of one skilled in the art implicitly shows those elements and limitations of claim 145 which are not explicitly recited in Kalina; and it would have been obvious to modify and interpret the disclosure of Kalina cited above as implicitly showing all of the elements and limitations of claim 145, because modification and interpretation of the cited disclosure of Kalina would have provided means "for establishing and processing a purchase award account wherein purchase credit awards resulting from a purchase by a consumer using the purchase award account is exchanged into an ownership interest in an investment vehicle. . . . " (see Kalina (col. 2, ll. 18-35), based on the motivation to modify Kalina so as to "[have] rewards/credits tailored to a particular market. . . . " (see Kalina (col. 1, ll. 48-57)).

Independent claim 146 is rejected for substantially the same reasons as independent claim 86.

As per dependent claim 147, <u>Kalina</u> shows the method of claim 146 and subsequent base claims depending from claim 146.

Kalina (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 1-1; FIG. 1-2; FIG. 2; col. 2, ll. 57-63; col. 5, ll. 6-27; col. 1, ll. 13, ll. 67; col. 2, ll. 1-57; col. 2, ll. 67-67; col. 3, ll. 1-67; col. 4, ll. 1-67; col. 5, ll. 1-67; col. 6, ll. 1-58; and whole document) implicitly shows all of the elements and limitations of claim 147; however,

21 (Gallagher) Serial Number: 09/912,591

Art Unit: 3622

 $a = a \rightarrow a$

Kalina lacks explicit recitation of some of the elements and limitations of claim 147; therefore,

Official Notice is taken that both the concepts and the advantages of those elements and limitations of dependent claim 147 not explicitly shown by Kalina were notoriously well known and expected in the art at the time of the invention, because it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art that the disclosure of Kalina cited above combined with the knowledge of one skilled in the art implicitly shows those elements and limitations of claim 147 which are not explicitly recited in Kalina; and it would have been obvious to modify and interpret the disclosure of Kalina cited above as implicitly showing all of the elements and limitations of claim 147, because modification and interpretation of the cited disclosure of Kalina would have provided means "for establishing and processing a purchase award account wherein purchase credit awards resulting from a purchase by a consumer using the purchase award account is exchanged into an ownership interest in an investment vehicle..." (see Kalina (col. 2, ll. 18-35), based on the motivation to modify Kalina so as to "[have] rewards/credits tailored to a particular market..." (see Kalina (col. 1, 11. 48-57)).

Independent claim 148 is rejected for substantially the same reasons as independent claim 1.

Art Unit: 3622

4 0 1 4

As per dependent claim 149, <u>Kalina</u> shows the method of claim 148 and subsequent base claims depending from claim 148.

Kalina (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 1-1; FIG. 1-2; FIG. 2; col. 2, ll. 57-63; col. 5,ll. 6-27; col. 1, ll. 13, ll. 67; col. 2, ll. 1-57; col. 2, ll. 67-67; col. 3, ll. 1-67; col. 4, ll. 1-67; col. 5, ll. 1-67; col. 6, ll. 1-58; and whole document) implicitly shows all of the elements and limitations of claim 149; however,

Kalina lacks explicit recitation of some of the elements and limitations of claim 149; therefore,

Official Notice is taken that both the concepts and the advantages of those elements and limitations of dependent claim 149 not explicitly shown by Kalina were notoriously well known and expected in the art at the time of the invention, because it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art that the disclosure of Kalina cited above combined with the knowledge of one skilled in the art implicitly shows those elements and limitations of claim 149 which are not explicitly recited in Kalina; and it would have been obvious to modify and interpret the disclosure of Kalina cited above as implicitly showing all of the elements and limitations of claim 149, because modification and interpretation of the cited disclosure of Kalina would have provided means "for establishing and processing a purchase award account wherein purchase credit awards resulting from a purchase by a consumer using

23

Serial Number: 09/912,591 (Gallagher)

Art Unit: 3622

the purchase award account is exchanged into an ownership interest in an investment vehicle..." (see Kalina (col. 2, ll. 18-35), based on the motivation to modify Kalina so as to "[have] rewards/credits tailored to a particular market...." (see Kalina (col. 1, ll. 48-57)).

CONCLUSION

5. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P. O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Any response to this action may be sent via facsimile to either:

(703) 746-7239 or (703) 872-9314 (for formal communications EXPEDITED PROCEDURE) or

(703) 746-7239 (for formal communications marked AFTER-FINAL) or

(703) 746-7240 (for informal communications marked PROPOSED or DRAFT).

Hand delivered responses may be brought to:

Seventh floor Receptionist Crystal Park V 2451 Crystal Drive Arlington, Virginia.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John L. Young who may be reached via telephone at (703) 305-3801. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M.

Serial Number: 09/912,591

(Gallagher)

Art Unit: 3622

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber, may be reached at (703) 305-8469.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

John L. Young

JOHN LEONARD YOUNG, ESQ. PRIMARY EXAMINER

Primary Patent Examiner

September 7, 2004